## Message Text

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 01 STATE 134461 ORIGIN ARA-14

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 JUSE-00 SEC-01 SCA-01 SS-15 NSC-05 SP-02 L-03 H-02 PA-02 PRS-01 USIE-00 CIAE-00 INR-07 NSAE-00 INRE-00 EB-07 TRSE-00 SSO-00 /061 R

DRAFTED BY ARA/CEN:DCJOHNSON APPROVED BY ARA/CEN:WMATTHEWS

-----101700Z 021374/53

O 101631Z JUN 77 ZFF4 FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY SAN JOSE NIACT IMMEDIATE

**UNCLAS STATE 134461** 

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS:SOPN, CS, US

SUBJECT: VESCO CASE

- 1. FYI: THE FOLLOWING IS TRANSCRIPT OF PERTINENT PORTION OF DAILY NEWS BRIEFING HELD THURSDAY, JUNE 9, 1977.
- 2. Q. HODDING, THERE WAS A STORY THIS MORNING ON A JUSTICE DEPARTMENT TRY FOR THE THIRD TIME TO EXTRADITE VESCO. WHAT IS THE STATE DEPARTMENT ROLE IN THAT? AND WHAT ARE YOU DOING ABOUT THAT/
- A. WELL, SPECIFICALLY, WHAT ARE YOU ASKING ME? I'M PREPARED TO DISCUSS THE VESCO QUESTION, BUT WHERE DO YOU WANT ME TO START?
- 3. Q. IS THE STATE DEPARTMENT INVOLVED IN THAT AND WHAT ARE THE CHANGES OF GETTING HIM OUT?

A. WE HAVE TWO LEVELS OF POSSIBLE INVOLVEMENT. ONE IS UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 02 STATE 134461

TO OFFER OUR OPINION AS TO WHETHER OR NOT AN EFFORT TO EXTRADITE MR. VESCO WOULD BE IN THE FOREIGN POLICY INTEREST OF THE UNITED STATES. THE SECOND IS, IF THE

JUSTICE DEPARTMENT DECIDES THAT EXTRADITION IS A LEGALLY FEASIBLE AND POSSIBLE CHOICE, THEN WE WOULD BE THE DEPARTMENT THAT HAS TO REQUEST EXTRADITION. TO SPEAK TO

THE QUESTION YOU ARE GOING TO RAISE NEXT, THE STATE DEPARTMENT DOES BELIEVE, FROM A STRICTLY FOREIGN POLICY POINT OF VIEW, THAT MR. VESCO SHOULD BE EXTRADITED. BUT IT IS THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT'S RESPONSIBILITY, NOT OURS, TO DECIDE THE QUESTION OF THE LEGAL BASIS FOR EXTRADITION AS TO WHETHER IT DOES SEEM FEASIBLE. WE DON'T SEEK TO ENCROACH ON THIS RESPONSIBILITY.

- 4. Q. COULD YOU EXPLAIN WHAT YOU MEAN BY A FOREIGN POLICY ANGLE?
- A. SIMPLY THAT WE THINK IT WOULD BE IN THE INTEREST OF RELATIONS BETWEEN THE TWO COUNTRIES -- THE COUNTRY IN WHICH MR. VESCO RESIDES AND THE COUNTRY OF HIS ORIGIN.
- 5. Q. ARE YOU SAYING HE IS CURRENTLY CONTRIBUTING TO POOR RELATIONS, THEN, BETWEEN US AND HIS HOST COUNTRY?
- A. I WOULD SAY THAT IT IS SIMPLY OUR DETERMINATION THAT THE LEGAL QUESTION OF MR. VESCO IS ONE WHICH DOES HAVE IMPLICATIONS FOR OUR RELATIONS AND WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE HIM EXTRADITED.
- 6. HAVE YOU CONSULTED WITH THE COSTA RICAN GOVERNMENT ON THIS ISSUE?
- A. I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING ABOUT DIRECT CONSULTATIONS BETWEEN US AND THE COSTA RICANS ON IT.

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 03 STATE 134461

- 7. Q. YOU'RE BASING THIS JUDGMENT, THEN, ON JUST A UNILATERAL ASSESSMENT OF EXCHANGE WITHOUT TALKING IT OVER WITH THEM?
- A. NO. I'M NOT SAYING THAT. I SIMPLY SAID I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING ON OUR DISCUSSIONS WITH THEM.
- 8. Q. DO YOU THINK THE SECRETARY OR ANYONE IN THE PARTY MIGHT DISCUSS WITH THE COSTA RICANS AT GRENADA?
- A. I DON'T KNOW. AGAIN, I DON'T HAVE THAT AS BEING A POSSIBLE TOPIC OF BILATERALS.
- 9. Q. DID MRS. CARTER TALK ABOUT THIS ISSUE IN HER MEETING WITH THE COSTA RICANS?
- A. THE MATTER DID NOT COME UP IN HER CONVERSATIONS WITH COSTA RICA.

10. Q. ARE THERE EXISTING TREATIES OR AGREEMENTS THAT WOULD PROVIDE FOR EXTRADITION FROM COSTA RICA?

A. WAIT A MINUTE. AT THIS POINT, I'M GOING TO ASK THAT THE LEGAL QUESTIONS ON THE PROCESS OF EXTRADITION GO TO THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT BECAUSE I CAN'T WALK VERY FAR WITH YOU ON THIS.

11. FROM A FOREIGN POLICY POINT OF VIEW --

A. BUT INSOFAR AS THAT PART OF THE PROCEDURE GOES, WHAT THEIR DETERMINATION IS, OR WHAT THE LEGAL POSSIBILITIES OF EXTRADITION ARE, I WOULD RATHER YOU ASK THOSE OF JUSTICE.

12. Q. WE DO HAVE AN EXTRADITION AGREEMENT WITH COSTA RICA, THOUGH. UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 04 STATE 134461

A. WE DO. BUT HOW IT WORKS AND UNDER WHAT TERMS IT WORKS, I AM NOT PREPARED TO GO INTO.

13. Q. IS THIS A NEW DECISION ON THE PART OF THE STATE DEPARTMENT?

A. I DON'T KNOW THAT THIS IS A NEW DECISION. IT IS A POSITION THAT WE HAVE RECOMMENDED. I DON'T KNOW HOW FAR BACK IT GOES, MURREY.

14. Q. DO YOU KNOW WHAT HAS HAPPENED THAT YOU HAVE TRANSMITTED A NEW DECISION?

A. IT IS SIMPLY THAT VIEW. THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT, HOWEVER, WHILE THAT IS OBVIOUSLY SOMETHING WHICH THEY ARE INTEREST IN LEARNING, HAS TO DECIDE THIS CASE ON THE BASIS OF THEIR BEST DETERMINATION OF THE LEGAL RAMIFICATIONS OF AN EXTRADITION PROCEEDING.

15. Q. HAS JUSTICE GIVEN ANY INDICATION AS TO WHEN THEY MIGHT COME TO SUCH A DECISION?

A. WE DON'T HAVE ANYTHING TO OFFER ON THAT. I THINK JUSTICE OUGHT TO BE THE ONE TO ASK. AS OF RIGHT NOW, THEY HAVE NOT REQUESTED US TO SEEK MR. VESCO'S EXTRADITION.

16. Q. I UNDERSTAND YOUR RELUCTANCE TO DISCUSS VESCO SPECIFICALLY, BUT HAS THE STATE DEPARTMENT COME TO SOME

GENERAL POINT OF VIEW ABOUT SUCH SITUATIONS THAT YOU COULD ELABORATE FOR US, OR IS IT JUST THE VESCO THING WHICH CREATES A FOREIGN POLICY PROBLEM?

A. NO. I THINK THAT I DON'T HAVE A GENERAL ELABORATION UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 05 STATE 134461

ON THE ISSUES OF EXTRADITION.

17. Q. NO, I MEANT OF VESCO-TYPE SITUATIONS.

A. WELL, I DON'T KNOW ANY OTHER.

18. Q. APART FROM VESCO, WHAT IS IT ABOUT VESCO THAT CAUSES A FOREIGN POLICY PROBLEM?

A. I THINK I AM NOT PREPARED TO GO MUCH FURTHER THAN THIS. IT IS JUST THE CONSIDERATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE THAT OUR RELATIONS BETWEEN THESE TWO COUNTRIES WOULD BE IMPROVED IF IN FACT WE PROCEED.

19. HODDING, WHAT HAS HAPPENED OVER THE LAST THREE YEARS TO CHANGE THIS, SINCE I UNDERSTAND THAT IN 1973 COSTA RICA DENIED A REQUEST AND SO DID BAHAMAS BEFORE THAT. WHAT HAS CHANGED BETWEEN THEN AND NOW?

A. A REQUEST FOR EXTRADITION.

20. YES.

A. WELL, IN THE FIRST PLACE, I DON'T HAVE A REQUEST FOR EXTRADITION BEFORE ME. THAT DETERMINATION IS STILL IN THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT.

21. Q. VESCO IS SCHEDULED TO BECOME A CITIZEN SOMETIME THIS MONTH IN COSTA RICA. HOW WOULD THAT AFFECT EXTRADITION?

A. TO BE PERFECTLY HONEST, THAT IS A GOOD QUESTION WHICH RAISES AN ISSUE WHICH ISN'T AS SIMPLY AS YOU SAY. I MEAN, THAT QUESTION OF WHETHER HE IS GOING TO BE HOME FREE IS FAIRLY COMPLEX. THE LEGAL ISSUES ARE VERY COMPLEX. AND AGAIN, ON THAT QUESTION, I UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 06 STATE 134461

WOULD REFER YOU TO JUSTICE DEPARTMENT LAWYERS.

22. Q. HAS THE STATE DEPARTMENT PROVIDED THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT WITH ANY SORT OF A MEMORANDUM SETTING FORTH THE FOREIGN POLICY?

A. THEY ARE AWARE OF OUR VIEWS. I AM NOT SURE IN WHAT FORM THAT HAS GONE OVER THERE.

23. Q. YOU HAVE MADE THEM AWARE OF OUR FOREIGN POLICY?

A. THEY ARE AWARE OF OUR VIEWS.

24. Q. HAS THE STATE DEPARTMENT ASKED COSTA RICA NOT TO GRANT HIM CITIZENSHIP OR TO DELAY GRANTING HIM CITIZENSHIP?

A. I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING ON THAT.

25. Q. HAS THE STATE DEPARTMENT INFORMALLY TOLD COSTA RICA THEY WOULD LIKE TO HAVE HIM BACK?

A. I DON'T KNOW. I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING ON THAT EITHER. I DON'T THINK THAT IN ANY CASE THAT KIND OF EXCHANGE WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT I WOULD BE ABLE TO OFFER YOU.

26. Q. CAN YOU TAKE ANOTHER QUESTION, ANOTHER SUBJECT?

27. Q. ONE MORE ON THAT. COULD YOU TRY TO GIVE US SOME KIND OF A TIME FRAME FOR THIS THING? IT IS NOT CLEAR TO ME, AT ANY RATE. DID THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT RECENTLY ASK YOU FOR A THRESHOLD OPINION ON THE FOREIGN POLICY IMPLICATIONS OF THIS AND WAS THAT OPINION RECENTLY TRANSMITTED?

A. WE HAVE BEEN IN TOUCH WITH THEM ON THIS QUESTION ON UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

PAGE 07 STATE 134461

NUMEROUS OCCASIONS, NOT JUST ON ONE OCCASION, APPARENTLY. I JUST THINK I AM GOING TO HAVE TO TAKE YOU TO JUSTICE ON THAT AS TO HOW THE INITIATION TOOK PLACE.

28. Q. AND WHEN.

A. AN WHEN.

29. Q. BUT ALSO THE QUESTION DOES REST RIGHT HERE IN THE DEPARTMENT.

A. WHAT QUESTIONS?

30. HAS THIS ADMINISTRATION MADE A NEW STAND ON THE VESCO MATTER AS DISTINCT FROM THE PREVIOUS ADMINISTRATION? I FRANKLY DON'T HAVE A CLUE WHAT THE ANSWER TO THAT IS.

A. I THINK, MURREY, I CAN TRY TO FIND OUT WHETHER
THERE IS SOME CHANGE IN THE STATE DEPARTMENT RECOMMENDATIONS. SINCE THERE ISN'T A RECOMMENDATION COME DOWN YET
FROM JUSTICE, I AM NOT IN A POSITION TO DEAL WITH THAT.
BUT I CAN TRY TO FIND OUT WHETHER WE HAVE REVERSED OUR
POSITION, OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. VANCE

UNCLASSIFIED

NNN

## Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X

Capture Date: 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am Channel Indicators: n/a

**Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** 

Concepts: PRESS CONFERENCES, EXTRADITABLE CRIMES

Control Number: n/a

Copy: SINGLE Sent Date: 10-Jun-1977 12:00:00 am Decaption Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am Decaption Note:

Disposition Action: n/a Disposition Approved on Date: Disposition Case Number: n/a Disposition Comment:

Disposition Date: 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am Disposition Event:

Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1977STATE134461
Document Source: COPE

**Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter:** DCJOHNSON Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A

Errors: N/A **Expiration:** 

Film Number: D770207-1176

Format: TEL From: STATE

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path: ISecure: 1

Legacy Key: link1977/newtext/t19770668/aaaachok.tel

Line Count: 289 Litigation Code IDs: Litigation Codes:

Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: c677e57e-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ORIGIN ARA

Original Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 6
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a Previous Classification: n/a
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Reference: n/a Retention: 0

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Content Flags: Review Date: 08-Nov-2004 12:00:00 am

Review Event: Review Exemptions: n/a **Review Media Identifier:** Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** 

Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

SAS ID: 2192139 Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE Subject: VESCO CASE

TAGS: SOPN, PINT, CS, US, (VESCO, ROBERT L)

To: SAN JOSÉ Type: TE

vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/c677e57e-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc

Review Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009